

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(5): 125-128 Received: 03-03-2024 Accepted: 08-04-2024

#### Ajit Kumar

M.Sc. Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Vegetable Science), Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Vijay Bahadur

Associate Professor & Head Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

### **GM Lal**

Associate Professor, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Ajit Kumar

M.Sc. Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Vegetable Science), Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Study on genetic variability and correlation in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)

# Ajit Kumar, Vijay Bahadur and GM Lal

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i5b.659

#### **Abstract**

At the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, research on twenty different accession of okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) was conducted in a randomised block design with three replications during the rainy season of 2023 to study the genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, and characters association. There was discovered to be a large degree of genetic diversity in the materials for all genotypes under consideration, as indicated by the analysis of variance, which revealed extremely significant differences for each genotype. The phenotypic coefficient of variance was greater than the matching genotypic coefficient of variation for every research participant. There is enough variety in the number of branches per plant and chlorophyll concentration, as evidenced by the significant genotypic and phenotypic variance in both traits. High heritability was noted for the following parameters: height, fruit production per plant, length of internodes, number of internodes on main stem, number of branches per plant, amount of fruit per plant, and predicted genetic advancement represented as a percentage of the mean. The prevalence of additive gene activity was suggested by this data. It can be concluded that due weight should be given to plant height, number of internodes on main stem, length of internodes, number of branches per plant, fruit length, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, and fruit yield while imposing selection for genetic improvement of fruit yield per plant in okra. These conclusions are based on performance, heritability, and genetic advance as a percent of mean, the genotypes. In order to develop high-yielding okra varieties and hybrids, the genotypes Parabhani Kranti, Arka Anamika, Azad Bhindi, Varsha Uphar, Kashi Vibhuti, Pusa Makhmali, VRO-3, and VRO-4 that had higher values for the aforementioned characters can be further tested and used in future breeding programmes.

Keywords: Variability, heritability, genetic advance, GCV, PCV

#### 1. Introduction

In tropical and subtropical parts of the world, including India, Africa, Turkey, and other nearby nations, okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) is an annual crop grown from seeds. Among the veggies, okra has become more popular. Compared to green veggies, okra is more productive. In India, okra is one of the most significant vegetables farmed for its soft green fruits during the summer and rainy season. Crop okra is a polyploid member of the Malvaceae family and is frequently cross-pollinated. Outcrossing occurs in the context of insect-assisted pollination to a maximum of 42.2% and to an extent of 4 to 19%.

Any breeding strategy should logically begin with an analysis of the variety in the material that is currently available. It is stated that the quanon of any such programme is genetic variability. Selection is thought to be effective in populations with substantial genetic variability. Measures of transmissibility of variation and responsiveness to selection are provided by the genetic fractions of observed variability, which comprise genetic variability and its components. One might argue that selection is effective in a population characterised by high genetic variability. A portion of the reported variability consists of genetic variation and its constituents, which together provide an evaluation of the transmissibility of variability and responsiveness to selection. The understanding of the inheritance patterns of different species must be taken into consideration while choosing the best breeding techniques for each crop. Breeders choose the material for any enhancement effort based on the degree of genetic diversity present. Usually, the genotype is not accurately represented by the phenotype.

Breeders choose the material for any enhancement effort based on the degree of genetic diversity present. Usually, the genotype is not accurately represented by the phenotype. Variability in phenotype is caused by the interplay between genetics and environment.

The examination of correlation and path coefficients sheds light on a population's genetic diversity. The correlation coefficient is used to ascertain the manual association between various plant characteristics and to choose the component that would increase fruit harvests. The path coefficient analysis facilitates the elite genotype selection by varying the correlation coefficient to the direct and indirect impacts of a collection of independent factors based on the dependent variable. Correlation studies of 15 important okra characters observed in the present study revealed a strong and positive relationship between fruit yield per plant and plant height, nodes per plant, and fruit per plant at the genotypic and phenotypic levels, indicating a common association of these traits. As a result, you may select these characteristics and get fruit on every okra plant.

## 2. Material and Methods

Twenty different okra genotypes were gathered for this experiment from different sources. These accessions have been evaluated in the area with respect to yield and yield contributing factors. In Kharif 2023, the experiment was conducted in the Horticulture Field Experiment Department of Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh).

### **Experimental details**

#### List of genotypes

| Sr. | Genotypes      | Name of         | Sr. | Genotypes       | Name of       |  |  |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| No  | Symbol         | Genotypes       |     | Symbol          | Genotypes     |  |  |
| 1   | $G_1$          | Arka Anamika    | 11  | $G_{11}$        | Kashi Vibhuti |  |  |
| 2   | $G_2$          | Selection-GR-21 | 12  | $G_{12}$        | Pusa Swani    |  |  |
| 3   | $G_3$          | HRB-53          | 13  | G <sub>13</sub> | Pusa Makhmali |  |  |
| 4   | $G_4$          | DOV-91-4        | 14  | G <sub>14</sub> | HRB-108-2     |  |  |
| 5   | $G_5$          | Parbhani Kranti | 15  | G <sub>15</sub> | Azad Bhindi-1 |  |  |
| 6   | $G_6$          | Punjab Padmini  | 16  | G <sub>16</sub> | Kashi Chaman  |  |  |
| 7   | G <sub>7</sub> | VRO-3           | 17  | G <sub>17</sub> | Azad Bhindi-2 |  |  |
| 8   | $G_8$          | VRO-4           | 18  | G <sub>18</sub> | Pusa-A-4      |  |  |
| 9   | G <sub>9</sub> | BO-2            | 19  | G19             | Kashi Lila    |  |  |
| 10  | $G_{10}$       | Arka Abhay      | 20  | $G_{20}$        | Varsha Uphar  |  |  |

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Analysis of variance

The degree of variability for each variable under analysis varied significantly between genotypes, and this difference has been demonstrated to be substantially larger for all analysed samples. There are therefore several options for selection for the majority of the features in the genotypes. Atotkar *et al.* (2010) <sup>[1]</sup>, Goswami *et al.* (2012) <sup>[5]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2016) <sup>[6,7]</sup>, Sharma *et al.* (2017), and Singh *et al.* have all reported findings that are comparable. A variance analysis for each of the 15 characters is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showing mean sum square of fifteen characters in okra

| C. N.   | Source                                      | Replications       | Genotypes | Error  |  |
|---------|---|--------------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Sr. No. | d.f   | 2                  | 19        | 38     |  |
| 1       | Days to 50% flowering                       | 4.19               | 4.07**    | 1.84   |  |
| 2       | Plant height                                | 49.69              | 436.30**  | 39.34  |  |
| 3       | Number of internodes on main stem           | 0.79               | 11.62**   | 0.69   |  |
| 4       | Length of internodes                        | 0.04               | 1.69**    | 0.04   |  |
| 5       | No. of branches per plant                   | 0.05               | 1.58**    | 0.03   |  |
| 6       | Days to first picking                       | 2.46               | 4.59**    | 0.88   |  |
| 7       | Fruit length                                | 3.45               | 6.34**    | 1.05   |  |
| 8       | Fruit width                                 | 0.12               | 0.22**    | 0.06   |  |
| 9       | Fruit weight                                | 7.3                | 10.41**   | 2.77   |  |
| 10      | No. of fruits per plant                     | 0.83               | 6.80**    | 0.55   |  |
| 11      | Fruit yield per plant                       | 1008.4             | 2716.84** | 545.68 |  |
| 12      | Chlorophyll content                         | 0.55               | 10.61**   | 0.18   |  |
| 13      | Fruit yield per ha                          | 2.48               | 5.68**    | 3.29   |  |
| 14      | TSS   | 0.11               | 0.19**    | 0.08   |  |
| 15      | Vitamin C                                   | 0.001              | 1.01**    | 0.02   |  |
|         | *, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of | probability, respe | ctively.  |        |  |

## 3.2 Genetic parameters

Fruit yield per plant (723.55) had the highest genotypic variance, followed by plant height (132.30). On the other hand, fruit yield per tonn (5.45) had the lowest genotypic variance, followed by the number of internodes on the main stem (3.64), fruit weight (2.54), days to 50% flowering (0.74), days of first picking (1.24), days to 50% flowering (0.74), length of internodes (0.55), number of branches per plant (0.52), and fruit width (0.05). Fruit yield per plant (1269.42) and plant height (171.73) also had high phenotypic variances; fruit yield ton/ha (14.75) had moderate variances. On the other hand, fruit weight (5.32), the number of internodes on the main stem (4.33), the chlorophyll content (3.66), fruit length (2.81), the number of fruits per plant (2.63), the days to 50% flowering (2.59), the days to first picking (2.11), the length of internodes (0.59), the number of branches per plant (0.55), and fruit width (0.12)

showed low phenotype variances.

Greater variety for these features was suggested by the high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for the number of branches per plant (GCV: 29.42%; PCV: 30.19%) and chlorophyll content (GCV: 21.4%; PCV: 21.94%) in okra. Therefore, improving this crop by direct selection for these qualities would be beneficial. On the other hand, the material under investigation showed moderate variability in terms of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for the following: number of internodes on main stem (GCV: 16.06%; PCV: 17.51%), fruit yield per plant (GCV: 14.42; PCV: 19.1%), length of internodes (GCV: 13.13%; PCV: 13.55%), number of fruits per plant (GCV: 12.88; PCV: 14.48%), plant height (GCV: 12.56%; PCV: 14.31%), and fruit weight (GCV: 10.24%; PCV: 14.8%).

Fruit width (GCV: 3.91%; PCV: 5.75%), fruit yield per ha (GCV: 2.99; PCV: 4.92%), days to first picking (GCV: 2.06%; PCV: 2.68%), and days to 50% flowering (GCV: 1.93%; PCV: 3.61%) all had low genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation values, indicating a limited range of variation for these characters in the genotypes and little room for further improvement of these characters through simple selection.

High estimates of heredity were found for the following characteristics: fruit yield (79.00%), plant height (77.10%), fruit length (62.70%), length of internodes (93.90%), number of branches per plant (95.00%), number of internodes on main stem (84.10%), and chlorophyll content (95.10%). Higher heritability and wide sense scores for these traits indicated a reduced influence of environmental influences. Days to first picking (59.20%), fruit yield per plant (57.00%), fruit weight (47.90%), fruit width (46.40%), TSS (36.40%), and moisture content (37.00%) all had moderate estimates of heredity. For vitamin C (19.4%) and days to 50% blooming (28.60%), estimates of poor heritability were noted. The character does not respond well to selection, as evidenced by the fact that the environment has a greater impact on it.

Fruit yield/plant (41.85) and plant height (20.8) showed high genetic advance, whereas cholorophyll content (3.75), number of internodes on main stem (3.61), fruit yield/ha (2.93), number of fruits per plant (2.64), fruit weight (2.28), and fruit length (2.17) showed moderate genetic advance. Fruit width (0.33), days to 50% blooming (0.95), number of branches per plant (1.45), internode length (1.48), days to first harvesting (1.77), TSS (0.23), and vitamin C (0.13) were all estimated to be poor. There are three categories for the projected genetic progress as a percentage of mean: high (more than 20%), moderate (10–20%). and low (0-10%). There was a genetic increase ranging from 2.13% to 59.23% of the mean. Plant height (22.71%), fruit yield per plant (22.43%), number of branches per plant (59.23%), chlorophyll content (43.23%), number of internodes on main stem (30.38%), length of internodes (26.19%), and number of fruits per plant (23.56%) were all high. Genetic gains for fruit weight and length as a proportion of the mean have been minor, at 14.63% and 15.95%, respectively. Fruit yield per hectare (3.75%), days to first harvesting (3.27%), days to 50% blooming (2.13%), low fruit width (5.57%), TSS (3.23%), and vitamin C

Table 2: Genetic parameters for fifteen characters of okra genotypes used in the study

| Sr. No | Character                      | σ2g    | σ2р     | GCV (%) | PCV (%) | H <sup>2</sup> b (%) | GA    | GA (% of mean) |
|--------|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|-------|----------------|
| 1      | Days to 50% flowering          | 0.74   | 2.59    | 1.93    | 3.61    | 28.6                 | 0.95  | 2.13           |
| 2      | Plant height                   | 132.3  | 171.73  | 12.56   | 14.31   | 77.1                 | 20.8  | 22.71          |
| 3      | No. of internodes on main stem | 3.64   | 4.33    | 16.06   | 17.51   | 84.1                 | 3.61  | 30.38          |
| 4      | Length of internodes           | 0.55   | 0.59    | 13.13   | 13.55   | 93.9                 | 1.48  | 26.19          |
| 5      | No of branches per plant       | 0.52   | 0.55    | 29.42   | 30.19   | 95                   | 1.45  | 59.23          |
| 6      | Days to first picking          | 1.24   | 2.11    | 2.06    | 2.68    | 59.2                 | 1.77  | 3.27           |
| 7      | Fruit length                   | 1.76   | 2.81    | 9.76    | 12.33   | 62.7                 | 2.17  | 15.95          |
| 8      | Fruit width                    | 0.05   | 0.12    | 3.91    | 5.75    | 46.4                 | 0.33  | 5.57           |
| 9      | Fruit weight                   | 2.54   | 5.32    | 10.24   | 14.8    | 47.9                 | 2.28  | 14.63          |
| 10     | No. of fruits per plant        | 2.08   | 2.63    | 12.88   | 14.48   | 79                   | 2.64  | 23.56          |
| 11     | Fruit yield per plant          | 723.55 | 1269.42 | 14.42   | 19.1    | 57                   | 41.85 | 22.43          |
| 12     | Chlorophyll content            | 3.48   | 3.66    | 21.4    | 21.94   | 95.1                 | 3.75  | 43.03          |
| 13     | Fruit yield (ton per ha)       | 5.45   | 14.75   | 2.99    | 4.92    | 37                   | 2.93  | 3.75           |
| 14     | TSS                            | 0.04   | 0.14    | 2.92    | 4.32    | 36.4                 | 0.23  | 3.23           |
| 15     | Vitamin C                      | 0.01   | 0.05    | 1.23    | 1.34    | 19.4                 | 0.13  | 1.89           |

Where,  $\sigma 2g$  = Genotypic variance, GCV % = Genotypic coefficient of variation, H<sup>2</sup> = Broad sense heritability, GA = Genetic Advance and GA (% of mean) = Genetic advance expressed as per cent of mean

Table 3: Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients of fifteen characters of okra

| Character   | PH     | NI      | LI      | NBP     | DFP     | FL       | FW     | FW       | NFP      | FY      | CC      | FY/ha   | TSS     | Vit C   |
|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| DF  | -0.143 | -0.13   | -0.027  | -0.109  | 0.685** | -0.206*  | 0.058  | -0.245   | 0.063    | -0.074  | -0.268  | 0.262   | -0.218  | -0.257  |
| PH  |        | 0.604** | 0.696** | 0.516** | -0.249  | 0.336*   | 0.018  | 0.492**  | 0.289*   | 0.620** | 0.008   | 0.331*  | -0.135  | -0.131  |
| NI  |        |         | 0.496** | 0.710** | -0.334* | 0.412**  | 0.11   | 0.369**  | 0.350*   | 0.540** | 0.064   | 0.333*  | -0.260  | 0.067   |
| LI  |        |         |         | 0.704** | -0.072  | 0.161    | 0.007  | 0.360*   | 0.191    | 0.428** | 0.109   | -0.18   | 0.153   | -0.133  |
| NBP   |        |         |         |         | -0.223  | 0.214    | -0.105 | 0.209    | 0.325*   | 0.427** | 0.271*  | 0.061   | -0.032  | -0.096  |
| DFP   |        |         |         |         |         | -0.369** | 0.021  | -0.377** | -0.402** | -0.58   | -0.04   | -0.288* | -0.097  | 0.161   |
| FL  |        |         |         |         |         |          | 0.07   | 0.546**  | -0.086   | 0.318*  | -0.171  | 0.173   | 0.052   | -0.129  |
| FG  |        |         |         |         |         |          |        | 0.015    | -0.121   | -0.084  | -0.297* | 0.25    | 0.141   | 0.337*  |
| FW  |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          | -0.185   | 0.524** | 0.088   | 0.389** | 0.127   | 0.194   |
| NFP   |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          | 0.722** | 0.11    | 0.281*  | -0.615  | -0.253  |
| FY  |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          |         | 0.155   | 0.529** | 0.485** | 0.938** |
| CC  |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          |         |         | -0.199  | -0.120  | -0.098  |
| TSS   |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          |         |         |         | 0.451** | 0.582** |
| Vit C   |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          |         |         |         |         | 0.872** |
| *,** Significant at P= 0.05 level and P= 0.01 level |        |         |         |         |         |          |        |          |          |         |         |         |         |         |

DF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height, NI- Number of internodes on main stem, LI- Length of internodes, NBP- Number of branches per plant, DFP- Days to first picking, FL- Fruit length, FW-Fruit width, FW- Fruit weight, NFP- Number of fruits per plant, FY- Fruit yield, CC-Chlorophyll content, TSS and Vitamin C

NBP DFP  $\mathbf{FL}$ FW FW NFP PH NI FY/ha TSS Vit C Character DF -0.005 -0.007 -0.015 -0.031 0.352\*\* -0.071 -0.037 -0.131 0.046 -0.046 -0.162\* -0.027 -0.181 -0.226 -0.207\* PH 0.533\*\* 0.604\*\* 0.462\*\* 0.287\*\* 0.068 0.274\*\* 0.21 0.372\*\* 0.014 0.149 -0.201 -0.145 0.459\*\* 0.642\*\* -0.264\*\* 0.305\*\* 0.075 0.233\*\* 0.304\*\* 0.372\*\* 0.217\*\* NI 0.059 0.340 0.156 0.667\*\* 0.137 0.015 0.272\*\* 0.161\* 0.330\*\* 0.098 -0.142 LI -0.051 0.327 -0.112 NBP -0.171\* 0.187\* -0.062 0.162\* 0.289\*\* 0.332\*\* 0.254\*\* 0.021 -0.045 -0.059 -0.277\*\* -0.039 DFP -0.274\*\* -0.007 -0.236\*\* -0.357\*\* -0.062 -0.192 0.133 0.325\*\* -0.064 0.202\* -0.123 0.106 FL 0.118 0.148 -0.156 -0.062 -0.182\* 0.128 0.379\* FG -0.006 -0.08 0.156 FW -0.081 0.665\*\* 0.055 0.159 0.188 0.224 NFP 0.664\* 0.103 0.181\* -0.232 -0.149 FY 0.111 0.242\*\* 0.578\*\* 0.633\*\* CC -0.132 -0.154 -0.068 TSS 0.412\*\* 0.456\*\* Vit C 0.763\*\* \*,\*\* Significant at P= 0.05 level and P= 0.01 level

**Table 4:** Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients of fifteen characters of okra

DF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height, NI- Number of internodes on main stem, LI- Length of internodes, NBP- Number of branches per plant, DFP- Days to first picking, FL- Fruit length, FW-Fruit width, FW- Fruit weight, NFP- Number of fruits per plant, FY- Fruit yield, CC-Chlorophyll content, TSS and Vitamin C

The phenotypic coefficient of variation was greater for all the species where the environment was shown to have an impact on expression than the corresponding genetic variability. Plant height, internode length, number of branches per plant, number of fruits per plant TSS, vitamin C, and chlorophyll content all showed high estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variations, indicating the presence of sufficient variability for these traits in the genotypes studied.

The traits plant height, internode length, number of branches per plant, number of fruits per plant TSS, vitamin C, and chlorophyll content were found to have high heritability and high genetic advance. This suggests that additive gene action predominates and that there is therefore better potential for these characters to be improved through straightforward selection, which will be fruitful.

Overall, phenotypes and genotypic correlations had an estimated correlation that was higher than the matching genotypic correlation factor. This could be the result of environmental changes that have an impact on character genotype associations. The relationship between fruit yield per plant and height, the number of internodes on the main stem, the length of the internodes, the number of branches in each plant, the length, weight, and quantity of fruits per plant, as well as moisture content, was positive and highly significant at both the genotypic and phenotypic levels.

## Conclusion

The studies mentioned above lead to the conclusion that, in order to improve fruit yield per plant genetically, selection should be made for increased fruit length, weight, number of internodes on the main stem, number of branches per plant, fruit height, number of fruits per plant, and fruit yield. For the development of high yield hybrids in okra, it is possible to test and use genotypes with higher value for these characters such as Parabhani Kranti, Arka Anamika; Azad Bhindi, Varsha Uphar Kashi Vibhuti, Pusamali Makhari VRO 3 and VRO 4 on future breeding programmes.

# References

- 1. Akotkar PK, De DK, Pal AK. Genetic variability and diversity in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Elec J Pl Bre. 2010;1(4):393-398.
- 2. Allard RW. Implications of genotype-environment interactions in applied plant breeding. Crop Sci.

- 1960;4:503-508.
- 3. Chadha KL. Hand book of Horticulture, ICAR publication. Chron Bot. 2001;13:1949-1950.
- 4. Goswami A, Kumar A, Singh B, Pandey PS. Genetic divergence and relationship analysis among the okra genotypes by morphological traits. Prog Agric. 2017;17(2):212-215.
- 5. Goswami A, Singh B, Kumar A, Bhadana G. Genetic variability in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Prog Agric. 2012;12(2):407-411.
- Kumar A, Kumar S, Maji S. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studied in okra [*Abelmoschus* esculentus (L.) Moench.]. Int J Agric Sci. 2016;8(57):3122-3124.
- 7. Kumar A, Solankey SS, Nand N, Adarsh A, Verma RB. Assessment of genetic diversity in okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench] for yield and yellow vein mosaic virus incidence. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2016;9(4):485.
- 8. Sharma PK, Mishra DP, Pandey A. Genetic variability studies for yield and its contributing traits in okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. J Appl Nat Sci. 2016;8(3):1634-1637.
- 9. Singh D, Dudi BS, Dhankhar SK, Rajkumar. Genetic diversity analysis of okra genotypes using morphological markers. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2018;7(1):1667-1675.
- 10. Singh N, Singh DK, Sati UC, Rawat M, Pandey P. Genetic analysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Int J Pure App Biosci. 2017;5(4):361-367.
- Singh B, Goswami A, Shami V. Study of Genetic Diversity in Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. Vegetos-An Int J Plant Res. 2017;30:109. 10.5958/2229-4473.2017.00044.1.
- 12. Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, immunity, and breeding of cultivated plants; c1951