



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(5): 678-685

Received: 10-02-2024

Accepted: 23-04-2024

SU Kaple

AICRP on Agroforestry, College of
Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

PD Raut

AICRP on Agroforestry, College of
Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

VM Ilorkar

AICRP on Agroforestry, College of
Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

Aarti P Deshmukh

AICRP on Agroforestry, College of
Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

An investigation of the chemical and physical changes occurring during incense bamboo waste composting

SU Kaple, PD Raut, VM Ilorkar and Aarti P Deshmukh

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i5i.757>

Abstract

Research on the "Influence of Organic and Inorganic Additives on Composting of Incense Bamboo Waste" was conducted at the College of Agriculture, Nagpur (M.S.), AICRP on Agroforestry (Futala farm). The fourteen treatments include the following: pure bamboo waste as the control, incense bamboo waste combined with organic (cow dung and poultry soil), inorganic (nitrogen), and biological additives (*Phanerochaete chrysosporium*) in varying combinations. When all additives were combined, the rate of decomposition of incense bamboo waste increased. This was most noticeable in treatment BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄). The BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF treatment had the lowest total organic carbon (27.33%), C:N ratio (17.34), and highest total nitrogen (1.58%), total phosphorus (0.52%), total potassium (1.16%), total sulphur (0.32%), and micronutrients (Fe-303.33 mg kg⁻¹, Zn-46.67 mg kg⁻¹, Mn-285.00 mg kg⁻¹, and Cu-24.95 mg kg⁻¹) measures.

Matured compost of treatments BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄), BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉), and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kilogram N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃) showed the desired physico-chemical parameters, such as pH, EC, ash content, bulk density, and color. On the other hand, treatment BW (control) (T₁) without any additives showed only a small amount of degradation. The outcome indicates that for incense composting can be a suitable source for recycling incense industrial bamboo waste with organic (cow dung and poultry soil) and biological (lignolytic fungi) additives.

Keywords: Bamboo waste, composting, decomposition, additives

Introduction

Bamboo is an important raw material in the incense stick (agarbatti) industry. It is a fast-growing, widely present, renewable, Versatile and a low-cost natural resource, due to which it is aptly known as 'green gold' and 'poor man's timber'. Bamboo incense stick production plays a vital role in the rural subsistence economy of the household income basket by providing livelihood and enhancing income levels of women. Indian agarbatti has a high demand both in the local and international markets. India is presently one of the largest producers and exporters of incense sticks with global domination by countries such as the U.S.A., Brazil and China. India's incense stick market is likely to reach ₹ 7500–8000 crore with its exports to more than 150 countries (Varuvel *et al.*, 2021) ^[53]. In India, bamboo is mostly used in manufacturing of agarbatti wherein, a maximum of 16% i.e. the upper layers of the bamboo, is used for manufacturing of bamboo sticks while the remaining 84% of bamboo is a complete waste. The bamboo waste generated in agarbatti and bamboo craft industries is not being utilized commercially, as a result, the bamboo input cost for round bamboo sticks was in the range of Rs 25,000 to Rs 40,000 per MT as against the average bamboo cost of Rs 4,000 to Rs 5,000 per MT. Compared to this, the bamboo price in China is Rs 8,000 to Rs 10,000 per MT but their input cost is Rs 12,000 to Rs 15,000 per MT owing to 100% waste utilization. Considering the generation of large bamboo waste biomass of incense stick industries, it is necessary to undertake the research to study the effect of organic and inorganic additives on composting of incense bamboo waste for value addition and utilization of bamboo waste [https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx KVIC, \(2022\).](https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx KVIC, (2022).)

Corresponding Author:

PD Raut

AICRP on Agroforestry, College of
Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

Composting is the microbial degradation of different organic materials under moist, self-heating and aerobic conditions and is a process characterized by a succession of various microbial populations. Large numbers of different mesophilic, thermotolerant and thermophilic aerobic microorganisms play key roles in the composting process. Microorganisms promote the degradation of organic materials through the activity of different hydrolytic enzymes (Raut *et al.*, 2008) [42]. Important enzymes involved in the composting process included cellulase, β -glucosidase, protease and xylanase, which depolymerize cellulose, hydrolyze glucosides, promote N-mineralization and hydrolyze Xylan, respectively (Mondini *et al.*, 2004) [31]. Characterizing and quantifying enzymatic activities during composting can reflect the dynamics of the composting process in terms of the decomposition of organic matter and nitrogen transformations and may provide information about the maturity of composted products (Tiquia, 2002) [49]. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the effect of additives (organic, inorganic and biological) on physico-chemical properties of compost prepared from incense bamboo waste.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out to explore the suitability and potential use of incense industrial bamboo waste as substrate used for compost preparation, during 2022-23 for 120 days at Agroforestry farm (Futala farm), College of Agriculture, Nagpur. Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Dist. Akola, Maharashtra. Incense industrial bamboo waste was collected from agarbatti industry, MIDC, Butibori, Nagpur, were used as the main component for composting. Due to high initial C:N ratio of the bamboo waste (115.79, Table 3), organic, inorganic and biological additives were used to adjust the C:N ratio and to initiate microbial activities. The incense bamboo waste was weighed 20 kg per treatment and then treated with additives such as cow dung, poultry soil, urea, lignolytic fungi as per the respective treatments (Table 1). 50% moisture and regular turning was given during the period of composting. Temperature was measured at regular interval. The experiment was designed following the principles of Completely Randomized Design (CRD), with fourteen treatments each replicated three times. The observations recorded during composting of incense bamboo waste and after 120 days were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis.

The initial characteristics of incense industrial bamboo waste such as moisture content, total organic carbon, ash content, C:N ratio and Total Nitrogen were analyzed (Table 3). The characteristics of additives used for composting such as Total N, P, K, S were also estimated (Table 4). The physico-chemical properties of different composting mixtures were estimated including bulk density (BD) determined with Core method by Blake and Hartge, (1986) [6]; ash percent determined by ignition method, pH was measured on digital pH meter using 1: 2.5 (soil: water) suspension and EC measured using digital conductivity meter according to Jackson (1973) [23]; moisture percent was determined using gravimetric method Khanna and Yadav, (1973) [27]; colour was determined with the help of Munsell colour chart by Munsell (1994) [35]; total organic carbon was estimated by procedure given by Jackson, (1973) [23]; total nitrogen was estimated by Micro-Kjeldahl method Piper, (1966) [39], total phosphorus by (Jackson, 1973) [23], total sulphur by turbidity method using tri-acid mixture extract on the colorimeter at 440nm light intensity (Chesnin and Yien, 1951)

[9], micronutrient by di-acid extract using atomic absorption spectrophotometer method by Issac and Kerber (1971) [22] and C:N ratio was calculated by dividing percent of organic carbon by percent total nitrogen. The data of various observations was analyzed by the standard statistical method. The null hypothesis was tested by F-test of significance to know whether treatments effect was real or not. The standard error (S. E.) and critical difference (C. D.) at 5% level was computed wherever 'F' test was significant (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985) [38].

Results and Discussion

Initial characteristics of incense bamboo waste on dry basis and additives used for composting: Initial characteristics of incense bamboo waste on dry basis and macronutrient content of additives used for decomposition were estimated and presented in Table 3 and 4, respectively. The elevated C:N ratio of incense bamboo waste, standing at 115.79, prompted measures to expedite its decomposition rate. To achieve this, the C:N ratio was reduced by incorporating organic elements such as cow dung and poultry soil, inorganic nitrogen, and biological additives like lignolytic fungi.

The initial organic carbon content of incense bamboo waste, presented in Table 3, was recorded at 48.63%. The peak rate of mineralization and organic carbon loss was observed within the initial 90 days, followed by a gradual deceleration in the later stages of composting. The incorporation of organic, inorganic, and biological additives facilitated a higher decomposition rate in the compost mixtures BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄) and BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉), resulting in the most substantial reduction in total organic carbon at 27.33% in both treatments after 120 days of composting (Table 5). The individual addition of organic and inorganic additives failed to supply sufficient nitrogen for the decomposing microflora, resulting in minimal decomposition of organic matter. Consequently, the total organic carbon reduction was notably limited in the compost treatments BW (control) (T₁), BW + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₀), and BW + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₁). The most significant decline in total organic carbon content was registered in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 27.33%), which equaled the reduction in treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 27.33%) and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 27.51%). This concurrence indicates the maturity of these compost treatments. Conversely, the smallest reduction in total organic carbon content was noted in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 38.50%), followed by BW + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₀ - 36.93%) and BW + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₁ - 36.17%), signifying the relative immaturity of the compost. The increase in the reduction of carbon content was due to the increase in the microbial activities with the fineness of the materials and availability of oxygen during decomposition (Bhardwaj and Gaur, 1970) [5]. These results are in conformity with the finding of Huang *et al.* (2004), Qian *et al.* (2014) and Zhong *et al.* (2018) [19, 40, 56].

The initial nitrogen content in incense bamboo waste was 0.42% (Table 3), exhibiting a significant increase over time with the incorporation of organic additives (cow dung and poultry soil), inorganic nitrogen, and biological additives (*Phanerochaete chrysosporium*) in all treatments. At the 120-day mark of composting, the most substantial increase in total nitrogen content was recorded in treatments BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 1.58%), matching the increase in treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 1.55%). Remarkably, composting mixtures that received either organic/inorganic additives, with or without biological additives, demonstrated an

increasing trend in total nitrogen content, ranking as follows: BW + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₀) < BW + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₁) < BW + 5 kg CD (T₂) < BW + 2.5 kg PM (T₄) < BW + 10 kg CD (T₃) < BW + 5 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₆) < BW + 5 kg PM (T₅) < BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₈) < BW + 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₂) < BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₇), compared to the control BW (control) (T₁) (Table 5). Latifah *et al.* (2015) [30] also reported that nitrogen content increased from 1.1 to 1.6% in rice husk co-composted using poultry manure. These results are in conformity with the findings of Bharne *et al.* (2003) [4], Mulec *et al.* (2016) [34] and Kakde (2017) [26].

The initial C:N ratio of incense bamboo waste was 115.79 (Table 3), gradually decreasing over the course of composting. The lowest C:N ratio was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 17.34) (Table 5). However, it was comparable to treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 17.63) and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 18.43) at 120 days of composting, signifying compost maturity. The highest C:N ratio was observed in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 43.19) at 120 days after composting incense bamboo waste without additives. The highest percentage decrease in the C:N ratio was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 68.75%) at 120 days compared to the initiation of composting (15 days).

Conversely, the lowest percentage decrease in the C:N ratio was reported in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 57.20%) at 120 days compared to the initiation of composting (15 days). The observed C:N ratio range of 17.34-19.64 in matured compost, such as BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 17.34), BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 17.63), BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 18.43), BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₇ - 19.33), and BW + 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₂ - 19.64), fell within the standard compost range of 20:1 as per the Fertilizer Control Order (Table 3) prescribed by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Government of India. Researchers have proposed various ideal C:N ratios ranging from 12 to 25 for matured compost (Tiquia *et al.*, 2010) [51]. Hansen *et al.* (1990) [15] also recommended a C:N ratio of 30:1 as the ideal value to expedite the microbial decomposition of organic matter. Sullivan and Miller (2001) [46] suggested that ideal compost feedstock mixtures should have an initial C:N ratio of about 30:1, decreasing to less than 20:1 as the composting process progresses. A similar range of C:N ratios in matured compost has been reported by Thambirajah *et al.* (1995) [48], Verma *et al.* (1999) [54], and Iqbal *et al.* (2012) [21].

The initial total P content of incense bamboo waste was 0.07% (Table 3). There was a gradual increase in total P content of composting material with composting time. The highest phosphorous content was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 0.52%) however, it was at par with treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 0.49%) at 120 days of composting (Table 6). The lowest phosphorous content was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 0.09%) at 120 days after composting when incense bamboo waste is composted without any additives. Phosphorus is not lost by volatilization during the composting process, but phosphorus content might increase as composting proceeds (Warman and Termeeer, 1996) [55]. Kadalli *et al.* (2002) recorded considerable increase in N, P and K content in coir dust based compost. Bharne *et al.* (2003) [4] also recorded significant increase in N, P and K content in the compost prepared by the cotton stalk with *Trichoderma* after its decomposition. The results were also in agreement with the findings of Sannigrahi (2003) [43], Tiquia (2003) [50], Bera *et al.* (2013) [2] and Kakde (2017) [26].

There was a gradual increase in total potassium content of composting material with composting time. The initial total potassium content of incense bamboo waste was 0.36% (Table 3). The significantly highest potassium content was recorded in treatments BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 1.16%) followed by BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 1.07%) and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 0.96%) at 120 days of composting (Table 6). The lowest potassium content was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 0.40%) at 120 days after composting when incense bamboo waste is composted without any additives. Similar results were also observed by Bera *et al.* (2013) [2] and Kakde (2017) [26].

The highest sulphur content was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 0.32%) however, it was at par with treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 0.29%) at 120 days of composting (Table 6). The lowest S content was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁ - 0.10%) at 120 days after composting when incense bamboo waste is composted without any additives. Debra and Trainer (1995) also reported that composting of organic wastes, increase the sulphur content.

The initial micronutrient concentration in undecomposed incense bamboo waste was Fe (156.00 mg kg⁻¹), Zn (22.34 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (138.42 mg kg⁻¹) and Cu (15.00 mg kg⁻¹) (Table 3). The micronutrient concentration was in the range of Fe (185.00 - 303.33 mg kg⁻¹), Zn (25.33 - 46.67 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (150.00 - 285.00 mg

kg⁻¹) and Cu (18.45 - 24.95 mg kg⁻¹) in different composting treatments after 120 days of composting (Table 6). The highest micronutrient concentration of Fe (303.33 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄) however, it was followed by the treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 283.33 mg kg⁻¹). With regards to zinc, the highest concentration of Zn (46.67 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄) and it was followed by the treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 45.00 mg kg⁻¹), BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 43.33 mg kg⁻¹) and BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₇ - 42.67 mg kg⁻¹). The highest Mn concentration was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 285.00 mg kg⁻¹) and it was at par with treatment BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 276.67 mg kg⁻¹). The highest Cu concentration was recorded in treatment BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄ - 24.95 mg kg⁻¹) and it was followed by the treatments BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₉ - 24.83 mg kg⁻¹), BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃ - 24.36 mg kg⁻¹), BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₇ - 23.91 mg kg⁻¹) and BW + 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₂ - 23.58 mg kg⁻¹). The lowest micronutrient concentration of Fe (185.00 mg kg⁻¹), Zn (25.33 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (150.00 mg kg⁻¹) and Cu (18.45 mg kg⁻¹) were recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁) at 120 days after composting when incense bamboo waste was composted without any additives. During composting the increase in total heavy metal concentration was probably due to losses of organic matter C, H and O from the piles through CO₂ and H₂O during composting, leaving Cu and Zn behind and consequently giving a relative increase in concentrations of these metals (Tiquia, 2003) [50]. Similar range of micronutrient in different compost were reported by Hsu and Lo, (1998) [17]; Fang and Wong, (1999); Hsu and Lo, (2001) [18] and He *et al.* (2009).

Physical and chemical characteristics of incense bamboo waste compost: pH is a measure of acidic or alkaline nature of the compost as composting progress. The pH value for all composting mixture was in decreasing trend and ranged from

7.15 - 8.15. The neutral pH (7 - 7.5) was recorded in treatments BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄- 7.15) followed by BW + 5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₉- 7.16), BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃- 7.27), BW+ 10 kg CD+ 0.2 kg LF (T₇- 7.33), BW+ 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₂- 7.36), BW + 2.5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₈- 7.37), BW + 5 kg PM (T₅- 7.47) and BW+ 5 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₆- 7.47), whereas, highest pH was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁- 8.15) after 120 days of composting (Table 6). The pH of the composting mixture containing organic (cow dung and poultry soil), inorganic (nitrogen) and biological (*Phanerochaete chrysosporium*) additives decrease gradually up to 120 days which is likely to be a consequence of new synthesis of organic acid production of phenolic compounds (Chen and Inbar, 1993; Tiquia *et al.*, 1996 and Satisha and Devarajan, 2007) [51]. It was also observed that the pH of well decomposed composting material at final stage tends to neutral in range. The pH of well decomposed bamboo waste compost ranged from 7.15 - 7.33 which was within the range of recommended value of 6.5-7.5 as prescribed by Fertilizer Control Order (Table 2). Similar trend of decreasing in pH with composting were also recorded by Ko *et al.* (2008) [29], Anqi *et al.* (2014) [1] and Kakde (2017) [26].

Electrical conductivity increases with composting time which was probably due to the release of soluble salt through organic decomposition. It was revealed that at the end of composting, EC values were in the ranged from 0.35 - 1.18 dSm⁻¹, with higher value being exhibited by treatments BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄- 1.18 dSm⁻¹) followed by BW + 5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₉- 1.10 dSm⁻¹) and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃- 1.03 dSm⁻¹) after 120 days of composting (Table 6). The measured EC values were within the range of recommendation and not more than 4.0 dSm⁻¹ as per by Fertilizer Control Order (Table 2) standard prescribed by Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Government of India. Jeevan Rao *et al.* (2007) [24] also recorded EC ranged from 0.32 - 0.45 dSm⁻¹ in decomposed urban solid waste in combination with agricultural waste. Rashad *et al.* (2010) recorded final EC values of different composts ranged between 0.79 to 1.04 dSm⁻¹. The ash content is considered as the quality parameter of compost. The increased ash content with incubation period indicates the decomposition rate of composting. The initial ash content in incense bamboo waste was 2% (Table 3). The results revealed that, the highest ash percent was recorded in treatment BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄- 9.76%) at 120 days of composting (Table 6). The lowest ash percent was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁- 3.12%) at 120 days after composting when incense bamboo waste is composted without any additives. Muhammad *et al.* (1991) [33] reported

36.38% ash content at the maturity of jute mill waste composting. Garcia *et al.* (1992) [13] also reported 31.3 to 66.9% increase in ash content during composting of different type of waste. Similar trend was observed by Thakur and Sharma, (1998) [47]; Habib *et al.*, (2001) [14].

The density of compost also influences the mechanical properties such as strength, porosity and ease of compaction. On the contrary, very low wet bulk density can indicate excessive substrate aeration and, indirectly, a drop in the available water fraction (Nappi and Barberis, 1993) [36]. The bulk density of all the treatments ranged from (0.13-0.36 Mg m⁻³) at 120 days of composting. The significantly maximum bulk density was recorded in treatment BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄- 0.36 Mg m⁻³) followed by BW + 5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₉- 0.32 Mg m⁻³) and BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃- 0.31 Mg m⁻³) at 120 days of composting (Table 6). The lowest bulk density was recorded in treatment BW (control) (T₁- 0.13 Mg m⁻³) when bamboo waste composted without any additives at 120 days of composting. The recorded bulk density of all treatments is well within the recommended range of < 1.0 g cm⁻³ as prescribed by Fertilizer Control Order (Table 2). Noguera *et al.* (2003) [37] stated that acceptable range in compost of bulk density was less than 0.4 g cm⁻³. Khater (2015) [28] observed negative correlation between the bulk density of compost and the compost total organic matter (R²= -0.89).

The incense bamboo waste compost mixture prepared from organic (cow dung and poultry soil) and biological (*Phanerochaete chrysosporium*) additives appear to be very dark brown (10 YR 2/2) to black (10 YR 2/1) in colour at 120 days except the compost where these additives not used. The black colour of compost was observed in treatment BW+ 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₄), BW + 5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₉), BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₃) and BW+ 10 kg CD+ 0.2 kg LF (T₇) indicating higher decomposition (Table 6). Whereas, very dark brown compost colour was observed in treatments BW+ 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF (T₁₂), BW + 2.5 kg PM+ 0.2 kg LF (T₈), BW + 5 kg PM (T₅) and BW+ 5 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF (T₆). There was no foul odour found in any of the compost at the end of composting. Colour and odour are considered as a simplest criterion to evaluate the maturity and stability of the compost, but other physical, chemical and biological parameter were studied for confirmation. Epstein (1997) reported that all the compost samples which appeared dark brown in colour with an earthy smell, deemed necessary for mature compost. Sullivan and Miller (2001) [46] stated that colour of the compost is one of the indicators that have been considered as maturity indices for compost. Black to very dark brown colour of matured compost were reported by Iqbal *et al.* (2012) [21] and Latifah *et al.* (2015) [30].




Fig 1: Temperature variation during composting of incense bamboo waste

Table 1: Treatment Details

Treatments	Sources	Treatment Details
T ₁		Bamboo waste (control)
T ₂	Organic additives	Bamboo waste + 5 kg Cow dung
T ₃		Bamboo waste + 10 kg Cow dung
T ₄		Bamboo waste + 2.5 kg Poultry manure
T ₅		Bamboo waste + 5 kg Poultry manure
T ₆		Bamboo waste + 5 kg Cow dung + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi (1% of biomass)
T ₇	Organic and biological additives	Bamboo waste + 10 kg Cow dung + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi
T ₈		Bamboo waste + 2.5 kg Poultry manure + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi
T ₉		Bamboo waste + 5 kg Poultry manure + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi
T ₁₀	Mineral and biological additives	Bamboo waste + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi (1% of biomass)
T ₁₁		Bamboo waste + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi (1% of biomass)
T ₁₂	Organic, Mineral and biological additives	Bamboo waste + 5 kg Cow dung + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi
T ₁₃		Bamboo waste + 2.5 kg Poultry manure + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi
T ₁₄		Bamboo waste + 5 kg Cow dung + 2.5 kg Poultry manure + 0.2 kg lignolytic fungi

Note: 1. Bamboo waste required per treatment is 20 kg.

2. Poultry manure or Poultry soil can be used.

Table 2: Standards of compost (Fertilizer control order, 1985)

Parameter	Compost
Moisture percent by weight	15.0-25.0
Colour	Dark brown to black
Odour	Absence of foul Odour
Particle size	Minimum 90% material should pass through 4.0 mm IS sieve
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	<1.0
Total organic carbon, percent by weight, minimum	12.0
Total nitrogen percent by weight, minimum	0.8
Total phosphates percent by weight, minimum	0.4
Total potash percent by weight, minimum	0.4
C:N ratio	20:1 or less
pH	6.5-7.5
Electrical Conductivity (as dS m ⁻¹), not more than	4.0
Pathogens	Nil
Heavy metal content, (as mg kg⁻¹), percent by weight, maximum	
Arsenic (as As ₂ O ₃)	10.0
Cadmium (as Cd)	5.0
Chromium (as Cr)	50.0
Copper (as Cu)	300.0
Mercury (as Hg)	0.15
Nickel (as Ni)	50.0

Table 3: Initial characteristics of incense bamboo waste on dry basis used for composting

Characteristics	Bamboo Waste (dry basis)
Moisture content (%)	5.00
Organic carbon (%)	48.63
Ash content (%)	2.00
C:N ratio	115.79
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.42
Total Phosphorus (%)	0.07
Total Potassium (%)	0.36
Total Sulphur (%)	0.06
Iron (mg kg ⁻¹)	156.00
Zinc (mg kg ⁻¹)	22.34
Manganese (mg kg ⁻¹)	138.42
Copper (mg kg ⁻¹)	15.00

Table 4: Initial characteristics of additives used for composting

Additive Material	Macronutrients (%)			
	N	P	K	S
Cow dung	1.2	0.4	0.82	0.22
Poultry Soil	3.08	2.65	1.47	0.38

Table 5: Change in total organic carbon, total Nitrogen and C: N ratio at an interval of 20-30 days during composting as influenced by various treatments

Treatments	Organic carbon (%)					Nitrogen (%)					C:N ratio				
	15 days	45 days	70 days	90 days	120 days	15 days	45 days	70 days	90 days	120 days	15 days	45 days	70 days	90 days	120 days
T ₁ - BW (control)	45.57	43.56	40.69	40.23	38.50	0.45	0.50	0.66	0.74	0.89	100.93	88.35	62.15	54.84	43.19
T ₂ - BW + 5 kg CD	41.25	38.55	35.64	34.94	34.36	0.52	0.61	0.84	1.11	1.25	79.57	62.98	42.65	31.53	27.50
T ₃ - BW + 10 kg CD	38.43	37.18	35.24	33.43	31.99	0.55	0.65	0.87	1.19	1.33	70.18	57.30	40.70	28.20	24.12
T ₄ - BW + 2.5 kg PM	40.67	37.41	35.24	34.71	32.62	0.54	0.64	0.86	1.15	1.30	76.02	58.51	41.02	30.30	25.17
T ₅ - BW + 5 kg PM	37.52	36.36	34.31	32.16	30.84	0.58	0.68	0.89	1.22	1.40	65.40	53.56	38.60	26.45	22.04
T ₆ - BW + 5 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF	37.69	37.04	35.00	32.81	30.94	0.58	0.68	0.90	1.21	1.36	64.91	54.53	39.13	27.13	22.75
T ₇ - BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF	36.73	35.33	32.52	28.52	28.14	0.62	0.72	0.94	1.25	1.46	59.40	49.48	34.51	22.78	19.33
T ₈ - BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	37.43	35.55	33.60	31.55	29.71	0.59	0.69	0.91	1.23	1.41	63.54	51.56	36.99	25.73	21.13
T ₉ - BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	35.90	34.85	31.39	28.00	27.33	0.65	0.76	1.07	1.40	1.55	55.30	46.12	29.39	20.01	17.63
T ₁₀ - BW + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	45.30	42.54	40.27	39.87	36.93	0.49	0.59	0.81	1.08	1.19	92.23	71.87	50.01	37.06	31.05
T ₁₁ - BW + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	41.86	40.54	36.34	36.29	36.17	0.51	0.61	0.83	1.10	1.22	82.27	66.15	43.69	33.02	29.58
T ₁₂ - BW + 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	37.30	35.43	32.58	28.60	28.20	0.61	0.71	0.93	1.24	1.44	61.26	50.28	35.22	23.02	19.64
T ₁₃ - BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	36.25	34.99	31.52	28.05	27.51	0.63	0.73	0.95	1.27	1.49	58.05	48.05	33.32	22.15	18.43
T ₁₄ - BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	35.85	33.89	29.26	27.95	27.33	0.65	0.78	1.10	1.42	1.58	55.49	43.53	26.63	19.73	17.34
F Test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
SE (m) ±	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.022	0.022	0.024	0.025	0.021	2.95	2.19	1.20	1.06	0.50
CD at 5%	0.35	0.46	0.40	0.35	0.31	0.062	0.062	0.070	0.071	0.059	8.42	6.26	3.42	3.04	1.42

Table 6: Total macronutrient content and physico-chemical properties of compost in incense bamboo waste compost as influenced by various treatments

Treatments	Total macronutrients (%)				Total micronutrients (mg kg ⁻¹)				Chemical and Physical properties				
	N	P	K	S	Fe	Zn	Mn	Cu	pH	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	Ash (%)	Bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	Colour
T ₁ - BW (control)	0.89	0.09	0.40	0.10	185.00	25.33	150.00	18.45	8.15	0.35	3.12	0.13	Light Brown
T ₂ - BW + 5 kg CD	1.25	0.16	0.56	0.15	210.00	33.67	170.00	20.40	7.83	0.61	5.00	0.17	Brown
T ₃ - BW + 10 kg CD	1.33	0.20	0.65	0.19	231.67	36.33	188.33	21.10	7.75	0.67	6.39	0.21	Brown
T ₄ - BW + 2.5 kg PM	1.30	0.18	0.60	0.16	220.00	35.33	178.33	20.93	7.81	0.64	5.95	0.20	Brown
T ₅ - BW + 5 kg PM	1.40	0.29	0.78	0.18	251.67	38.00	215.00	22.40	7.47	0.78	7.54	0.25	Very Dark Brown
T ₆ - BW + 5 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF	1.36	0.23	0.72	0.20	240.00	37.33	198.00	21.82	7.47	0.70	6.73	0.22	Very Dark Brown
T ₇ - BW + 10 kg CD + 0.2 kg LF	1.46	0.43	0.90	0.25	271.67	42.67	253.33	23.91	7.33	0.98	8.25	0.30	Black
T ₈ - BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	1.41	0.36	0.83	0.23	256.67	40.00	226.67	23.12	7.37	0.85	7.87	0.26	Very Dark Brown
T ₉ - BW + 5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	1.55	0.49	1.07	0.29	283.33	45.00	276.67	24.83	7.16	1.10	9.10	0.32	Black
T ₁₀ - BW + 0.125 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	1.19	0.11	0.42	0.18	191.67	28.67	160.00	19.00	8.06	0.47	4.02	0.15	Light Brown
T ₁₁ - BW + 0.250 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	1.22	0.13	0.45	0.19	200.00	32.00	163.33	19.15	7.90	0.56	4.54	0.16	Light Brown
T ₁₂ - BW + 5 kg CD + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	1.44	0.39	0.88	0.24	266.67	41.67	239.00	23.58	7.36	0.94	8.01	0.27	Very Dark Brown
T ₁₃ - BW + 2.5 kg PM + 0.06 kg N + 0.2 kg LF	1.49	0.45	0.96	0.27	278.33	43.33	268.33	24.36	7.27	1.03	8.76	0.31	Black
T ₁₄ - BW + 5 kg CD + 2.5 kg PM + 0.2 kg LF	1.58	0.52	1.16	0.32	303.33	46.67	285.00	24.95	7.15	1.18	9.76	0.36	Black
F Test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	-
SE (m) ±	0.021	0.017	0.020	0.015	8.20	1.46	4.11	0.55	0.03	0.01	0.39	0.01	-
CD at 5%	0.059	0.049	0.057	0.043	23.42	4.17	11.73	1.57	0.08	0.04	1.11	0.03	-

Conclusion

From the obtained data, It can be concluded that, the incense bamboo waste residues can be successfully composted with the use organic, inorganic and biological additives. The addition of organic, inorganic and biological additives in incense bamboo waste residues markedly changed the temperature profile during composting, producing compost with different physical and chemical properties. Hence, it is concluded that, for composting of incense bamboo waste with organic (cow dung and poultry soil) and biological (lignolytic fungi) additives can be a suitable source for recycling bamboo waste.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Project Director, AICRP on Agroforestry, CAFRI, Jhansi for financial support and guidance.

References

- Anqi Z, Qun HG, Ying ZS, Lujia H. Design and test on an aerobic composting reactor system. J Nongye Jixie Xuebao Trans Chinese Soc Agric Machinery. 2014;45(7):156-161.
- Bera R, Datta A, Bose S, Dolui AK, Chatterjee AK, Dey GC, *et al.* Comparative Evaluation of Compost Quality, Process Convenience and Cost under Different Composting Method to assess their Large-Scale Adoptability Potential as also Complemented by Compost Quality Index. Int. J Sci. Res Publications. 2013;3(6):406-417.
- Bernal MP, Albuquerque JA, Moral R. Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. Bioresour Technol. 2009;100:5444-5453.
- Bharne VV, Chaudhary CS, Dangore ST, Raut PD, Thakre PD. Studies on effect of decomposition of various crop residues and quality of compost on nutrient status of soil and quality parameters of summer mung. Ann Plant Physiol. 2003;17(2):125-129.
- Bhardwaj KK, Gaur AC. The effect of humic and fulvic acid on the growth, efficiency of nitrogen fixation by Azotobacter chroococcum. Folia Microbiol. 1970;15:364.
- Blake GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. In: Klute A, editor. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part I. Agronomy No. 9. American Society of Agronomy. Madison, WI; 1986. p. 464-475.
- Chefetz B, Chen Y, Hadar Y, Hatcher PG. Characterization of dissolved organic matter extracted from composted

- municipal solid waste. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 1998;62(2):326-332.
8. Chen Y, Inbar Y. Chemical and spectroscopic analysis of organic matter transformation during composting in relation to compost maturity. In: Hoitink HA, Keener HM, editors. *Science and Engineering of Composting: Design, Environmental, Microbiology and Utilization Aspects.* Renaissance Publication; 1993. p. 551-600.
 9. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric determination of available sulphate. *Soil Sci Soc Am Proc.* 1951;15:149-151.
 10. Debra RR, Trainer SD. Window co-composting of municipal biosolids and yard waste. *Compost Sci Util.* 1995;3(2):38-46.
 11. Epstein E. *The Science of Composting.* Technomic Publishing Co. Inc., Basel, Switzerland; 1997.
 12. Fang M, Wong JW. Effects of lime amendment on availability of heavy metals and maturation in sewage sludge composting. *Environ Pollut.* 1999;106(1):83-90.
 13. Garcia C, Hernandez T, Costa F, Ayuso M. Evaluation of the maturity of municipal waste compost using simple chemical parameters. *Commun Soil Sci Pl. Anal.* 1992;23(13&14):1501-1512.
 14. Habib FM, Negm MA, Hasan MM. Composting of sugarbeet residues. *Egyptian J Agric Res.* 2001;79(2):373-383.
 15. Hansen RC, Harold MK, Warren AD, Christoph M, Hoitink HA. Poultry manure composting ammonia capture and aeration control. *American Society of Agricultural Engineers.* St. Joseph, MI; 1990. p. 12-25.
 16. He M, Tian G, Liang X. Phytotoxicity and speciation of copper, zinc and lead during the aerobic composting of sewage sludge. *J Hazard Mater.* 2009;163(2-3):671-677.
 17. Hsu J, Lo S. Characterization and extractability of copper, manganese, and zinc in swine manure composts. *J Environ Qual.* 1998;29(2):447-453.
 18. Hsu J, Lo S. Effect of composting on characterization and leaching of copper, manganese, and zinc from swine manure. *Environ Pollut.* 2001;114(1):119-127.
 19. Huang GF, Wong JW, Wu QT, Nagar BB. Effect of C:N ratio on composting of pig manure with sawdust. *Waste Manag.* 2004;24(8):805-813.
 20. KVIC. Proposes lifting of "Export Prohibition" on bamboo charcoal for higher profitability of bamboo industry, press release report by Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises. [Internet] Available from: <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx>. Accessed on: [Insert Date].
 21. Iqbal MK, Khan RA, Nadeem A, Hussnain A. Comparative Study of Different Techniques of Composting and their Stability Evaluation in Municipal Solid Waste. *J Chem Soc Pak.* 2012;34(2):273-282.
 22. Issac RA, Kerber JD. Instrumental Method for Analysis of Soil and Plant Tissue. In: Waise LM, editor. *Soil Sci Soc Am.* Madison, Wisconsin, USA; 1971.
 23. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis.* Prentice Hall of India Private LTD. New Delhi; 1973.
 24. Jeevan Rao K, Rama Lakshmi CS, Sreenivasa Raju A. Evaluation of Manurial value in Urban and Agricultural Waste Composts. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.* [in press].
 25. Kadalli GG, Devi S, Siddaramappa R, Patil CR. Quality and efficiency value added coir dust based compost. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 2002;48(4):141-144.
 26. Kakde SV. Biodegradation of Agricultural Residues and Oily Waste, Their Effect on Vegetable Crop Yield. *Int J Sci Res.* 2017;6(4):681-687.
 27. Khanna SS, Yadav DA. Gravimetric method. *Practical Manual for Introductory Courses in Soils.* Published by Publication and Information Division, ICAR, New Delhi; 1973. p. 45-49.
 28. Khater ESG. Some physical and chemical properties of compost. *Int J Waste Resour.* 2015;5(1):72-79.
 29. Ko HJ, Kim KY, Kim HT, Kim CN, Umeda M. Evaluation of maturity parameters and heavy metal contents in compost made from animal manure. *Waste Manag.* 2008;28:813-820.
 30. Latifah O, Ahmed OH, Susilawati K, Majid NM. Compost maturity and nitrogen availability by co-composting of paddy husk and chicken manure amended with clinoptilolite zeolite. *Waste Manag Res.* 2015;1-10.
 31. Mondini C, Fornasier F, Sinicco T. Enzymatic activity as a parameter for the characterization of the composting process. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2004;1587-1594.
 32. Moral R, Paredes C, Bustamante MA, Marhuenda-Egea F, Bernal MP. Utilization of manure composts by high-value crops: safety and environmental challenges. *Bioresour Technol.* 2009;100(22):5454-5460.
 33. Muhammad A, Yaqub M, Iqbal M, Faruq-e-Azam. Biological changes during composting of jute mills waste and criterion for compost maturity. *J Agric Res Lahore.* 1991;29(2):279-287.
 34. Mulec AO, Mihelic R, Walochnik J, Bulc TG. Composting of the solid fraction of black water from a separation system with vacuum toilets - effects on the process and quality. *J Clean Prod.* 2016;112:4683-4690.
 35. Munsell. Determination of soil colour. U.S. Dept. Agriculture Handbook 18-Soil Survey Manual. [Internet] Available from: [Insert URL]. Accessed on: [Insert Date].
 36. Nappi P, Barberis R. Compost as growing medium: chemical, physical and biological aspects. In *International Symposium on Horticultural Substrates other than Soil in situ.* 1993;342:249-256.
 37. Noguera P, Abad M, Puchades R, Maquieira A, Noguera V. Influence of particle size on physical and chemical properties of coconut coir dust as container medium. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2003;34:593-605.
 38. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical Methods for Agriculture Workers.* Published by Publication and Information Division, ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.
 39. Piper CS. *Soil and Plant Analysis.* Hans Publishers, Bombay; 1966. p. 368.
 40. Qian X, Shen G, Wang Z, Guo C, Liu Y, Lei Z. Co-composting of livestock manure with rice straw: characterization and establishment of maturity evaluation system. *Waste Manage.* 2014;34(2):530-535.
 41. Rashad FM, Saleh WD, Moselhy MA. Bioconversion of rice straw and certain agro-industrial wastes to amendments for organic farming systems: composting, quality, stability and maturity indices. *Bioresour Technol.* 2010;101:5952-5960.
 42. Raut MP, Prince William SP, Bhattacharyya JK, Chakrabarti T, Devotta S. Microbial dynamics and enzyme activities during rapid composting of municipal solid waste - a compost maturity analysis perspective. *Bioresour Technol.* 2008;99(14):6512-6519.
 43. Sannigrahi AK. Effect of variation in pit sizes and in grass cow dung ratios on anaerobic composting and quality of compost. *Environ Ecol.* 2003;21(3):642-644.

44. Satisha GC, Devarajan L. Effect of amendments on windrow composting of sugar industry press mud. *Waste Manag.* 2007;27:1083-1091.
45. Singh LR, Verma NS, Lohia SS. Effect of continuous application of farmyard manures and chemical fertilizers on some soil properties. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 1980;28(2):17-20.
46. Sullivan DM, Miller RO. Compost quality attributes, measurements, and variability. In: Stofella PJ, Kahn BA, editors. *Compost Utilization in Horticultural Cropping Systems*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2001. p. 95-120.
47. Thakur SK, Sharma CR. Effect of rock phosphate enrichment and *Azotobacter*, inoculation on the transformation of nitrogen and phosphorus during composting. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci.* 1998;46(2):228-231.
48. Thambirajah JJ, Zulkali MD, Hashim MA. Microbial and biochemical changes during the composting of oil palm empty fruit branches. *Bioresource Technol.* 1995;52(2):133-144.
49. Tiquia SM. Evolution of extracellular enzyme activities during manure composting. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2002;92(4):764-775.
50. Tiquia SM. Evaluation of organic matter and nutrient composition of partially decomposed and composted spent pig litter. *Environ Technol.* 2003;24(1):97-107.
51. Tiquia SM. Reduction of compost phytotoxicity during the process of decomposition. *Chemosphere.* 2010;79(5):506-512.
52. Tiquia SM, Tam NFY, Hodgkiss IJ. Microbial activities during composting of spent pig and saw dust litter at different moisture contents. *Bioresource Technol.* 1996;55:201-206.
53. Varuvel GJ, Selvan T, Palaniyappan K. Preferential Use of Bamboos for Industrial Production of Incense Sticks. *Environmental Sciences Proceedings.* 2021;13(1):7.
54. Verma LN, Rawat AK, Dubey SB, Rathore GS. Variation in temperature and shrinkage of *Trichoderma harzianum* inoculate compost prepared from crop and poultry wastes. *J Indian Agricultural Sci.* 1999;69(5):340-344.
55. Warman PR, Termeer WC. Composting and evaluation of racetrack manure, grass clippings and sewage sludge. *Bioresource Technol.* 1996;55:95-101.
56. Zhong Z, Bian F, Zhang X. Testing composted bamboo residues with and without added effective microorganisms as a renewable alternative to peat in horticultural production. *Industrial Crops and Products.* 2018;112:602-607.
57. Zhou C, Liu Z, Huang ZL, Dong M, Yu XL, Ning P. A new strategy for co-composting dairy manure with rice straw: addition of different inoculate at three stages of composting. *Waste Manag.* 2015;40:38-43.